

Index

A

- A Challenge to ant-Semitism. 2
ANGLO-SAXONDOM ATTRACTS ENGLISH SPEAKING ALIENS. 15

C

- C OF E CLERICS IN YEAR BOOK. 19
COMMISSION FOR APPEALS COURTS. 17

D

- DEBASEMENT OF PARLIAMENTARY GOVERNMENT. 15

E

- ESTABLISHING AN IMPERIUM IN IMPERIO: THE JEWS. 7

F

- Foreword by Gore Vidal. 4

H

- HIGH TREASON III 6
HIGH TREASON. 6

I

- IMMIGRATION. 10
IS THIS THE SECRET “STAR CHAMBER”? 17

M

- MAGNA CARTA. 16
MISPRISION OF TREASON. 7
MUSLIMS ATTEMPT TO SET UP ANOTHER IMPERIUM IN IM 9

S

- Social Aspects of Dispensations. Israel Shahak. 2
SOCIAL DISINHERITANCE THROUGH TREACHERY. 2, 2-19
SOME OF THE TRAITORS RESPONSIBLE FOR LAW PERVERSIO 17

T

- THE CORONATION OATH AND THE BILL OF RIGHTS. 13
THE JEWS PRESENT BEGGING LETTERS TO CROMWELL. 7
THE PURPORTED EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES ACT. 12
THE PURPORTED NATIONALITY ACT. 11
THE PURPORTED PUBLIC ORDER ACT OF 1986. 10
THE PURPORTED RACE RELATIONS ACTS. 9

SOCIAL DISINHERITANCE THROUGH TREACHERY.

Through the following essay, we hope to demonstrate and illustrate the manner in which the people of the islands of Great Britain are being disinherited of all that their forbears have strived to achieve in making Britain a safe nation for their children and their heirs and successors. The process has been carried out slowly but surely from the 17th century to the present day and its near completion. We show you the changes, how and why they were brought about by stealth and deception.

A Frog in Hot Water!

It is known that if one is to drop a frog into a shallow bowl containing hot water, the frog, being a cold blooded creature, will leap out of the water in shock. However, if one were to place the frog into a similar bowl of cold water the frog will swim around quite happily. If one now applies heat to the underside of the bowl, the frog will not notice the rise in temperature because it is designed to vary the temperature of its blood to the surrounding environment. The frog will continue to adapt to the temperature until eventually it dies.

A Challenge to ant-Semitism.

Lest anyone bring a charge of “racism” or “anti-Semitism” against us or anything contained within this article or any other article on this web site it must be stated that there are many of the Jewish faith and blood who are fearful of the aims and consequences of the “Zionist” push for global control. One such exponent is Israel Shahak from whom we quote below from his book “Jewish History, Jewish Religion - the Weight of 3000 years”

We use the work of Israel Shahak because he has concisely condensed the modus operandii of the Zionist/Talmudic Jews into an easily understandable format. Much the same warnings are to be found in the Bible in both the New and Old Testaments..

Social Aspects of Dispensations. Israel Shahak. (SLDSHO8B)

First, a dominant feature of the system of dispensations, and of classical Judaism inasmuch as it is based on them, is deception - deception primarily of God, if this word can be used for an imaginary being so easily deceived by the rabbis, who consider themselves cleverer than him. No greater contrast can be conceived than that between the God of the Bible (particularly of the greater prophets) and of the God of classical Judaism. The latter is more like the early Roman Jupiter, who was likewise bamboozled by his worshippers, or the gods described in Frazer's Golden Bough.

From the ethical point of view, classical Judaism represents a process of degeneration, which is still going on; and this degeneration into a tribal collection of empty rituals and magic superstitions has very important social and political consequences. For it must be remembered that it is precisely the superstitions of classical Judaism which have the greatest hold on the Jewish masses, rather than those parts of the Bible or even the Talmud which are of real religious and ethical value. (The same can be observed also in other religions which are now undergoing

revival.) What is popularly regarded as the most 'holy' and solemn occasion of the Jewish liturgical year, attended even by very many Jews who are otherwise far from religion? It is the Kol Nidrey prayer on the eve of Yom Kippur - a chanting of a particularly absurd and deceptive dispensation, by which all private vows made to God in the following year are declared in advance to be null and void.

Together with the deception of God goes the deception of other Jews, mainly in the interest of the Jewish ruling class. It is characteristic that no dispensations were allowed in the specific interest of the Jewish poor. For example, Jews who were starving but not actually on the point of death were never allowed by their rabbis (who did not often go hungry themselves) to eat any sort of forbidden food, though kosher food is usually more expensive.

The second dominant feature of the dispensations is that they are in large part obviously motivated by the spirit of profit. And it is this combination of hypocrisy and the profit motive which increasingly dominated classical Judaism. ---- Those religious Jews in Israel, who are honest, as the majority of them undoubtedly are, are so, not because of the influence of their religion and rabbis, but in spite of it. On the other hand, in those few areas of public life in Israel which are wholly dominated by religious circles, the level of chicanery, venality and corruption is notorious, far surpassing the 'average' level tolerated by general, non-religious Israeli society."

"Close relations have always existed between Zionists and anti-semites: exactly like some of the European conservatives, the Zionists thought they could ignore the 'demonic' character of anti-semitism and use the anti-semites for their own purposes. Many examples of such alliances are well known. Herzl allied himself with the notorious Count von Pleshve, the anti-semitic minister of Tsar Nicholas II. Jabotinsky made a pact with Petlyura, the reactionary Ukrainian leader whose forces massacred some 100,000 Jews in 1918-21; Ben Gurion's allies among the French extreme right during the Algerian war included some notorious anti-Semites who were, however, careful to explain that they were only against Jews in France, not in Israel.

Perhaps the most shocking example of this type is the delight with which some Zionist leaders in Germany welcomed Hitler's rise to power, because they shared his belief in the primacy of 'race' and his hostility to the assimilation of Jews among 'Aryans'. They congratulated Hitler on his triumph over the common enemy - the forces of liberalism. Dr. Joachim Prinz, a Zionist rabbi who subsequently emigrated to the USA, where he rose to be vice chairman of the World Jewish Congress and a leading light in the World Zionist Organisation (as well as a great friend of Golda Meir), published, in 1934, a special book, *Wir Juden (We, Jews)* to celebrate Hitler's so-called German Revolution and the defeat of liberalism. *'The meaning of the German Revolution for the German nation will eventually be clear to those who have created it and formed its image. Its meaning for us must be set forth here; the fortunes of liberalism are lost. The only form of political life which has helped Jewish assimilation is sunk.'*

"The victory of Nazism rules out assimilation and mixed marriages as an option for Jews. 'We are not unhappy about this,' said Dr. Prinz. In the fact that Jews are being forced to identify themselves as Jews, he sees 'the fulfilment of our desires'. And further: *"We want assimilation to be replaced by a new law: the declaration of belonging to the Jewish nation and Jewish race. A state built upon the principle of the purity of nation and race can only be honoured and respected by a Jew who declares his belonging to his own kind. Having so declared himself, he will never be capable of faulty loyalty towards a state. The state cannot want other Jews but such as declare themselves as belonging to their nation*

SLDSHO8C

Dr. Joachim Prinz, a Zionist rabbi, vice chairman of the World Jewish Congress and a leading light in the World Zionist Organisation published, in 1934, *Wir Juden* (We, Jews) to celebrate Hitler's so-called German Revolution and the defeat of liberalism.

“The victory of Nazism rules out assimilation and mixed marriages as an option for Jews. ‘We are not unhappy about this,’ said Dr. Prinz. In the fact that Jews are being forced to identify themselves as Jews, he sees ‘the fulfilment of our desires’. And further:

‘We want assimilation to be replaced by a new law; the declaration of belonging to the Jewish nation and Jewish race. A state built upon the principle of the purity of nation and race can only be honoured and respected by a Jew who declares his belonging to his own kind. Having so declared himself, he will never be capable of faulty loyalty towards a state. The state cannot want other Jews but such as declare themselves as belonging to their nation. It will not want Jewish flatterers and crawlers. It must demand of us faith and loyalty to our own interest. For only he who honours his own breed and his own blood can have an attitude of honour towards the national will of other nations.’

The whole book is full of similar crude flatteries of Nazi ideology, glee at the defeat of liberalism and particularly of the ideas of the French Revolution and great expectations that, in the congenial atmosphere of the myth of the Aryan race, Zionism and the myth of the Jewish race will also thrive.

Of course, Dr. Prinz, like many other early sympathisers and allies of Nazism did not realise where that movement (and modern anti-Semitism generally) was leading. Equally, many people at present do not realise where Zionism - the movement in which Dr. Prinz was an honoured figure - is tending to a combination of all the old hates of classical Judaism towards Gentiles and to the indiscriminate and ahistorical use of all the persecutions of Jews throughout history in order to justify the Zionist persecution of the Palestinians.

For, insane as it sounds, it is nevertheless plain upon close examination of the real motives of the Zionists, that one of the most deep-seated ideological sources of the Zionist establishment's persistent hostility towards the Palestinians is the fact that they are identified in the minds of many east European Jews with the rebellious east European peasants who participated in the Chmielnicki uprising and in similar revolts - and the latter are in turn identified ahistorically with modern anti-Semitism and Nazism.

SLDSHO8B

Foreword by Gore Vidal.

Sometime in the late 1950's, that world-class gossip and occasional historian, John F. Kennedy, told me how, in 1948, Harry S. Truman had been pretty much abandoned by everyone when he came to run for president. Then an American Zionist brought him two million dollars in cash, in a suitcase, aboard his whistle-stop campaign train. ‘That's why our recognition of Israel was rushed through so fast.’ As neither Jack nor I was an anti-Semite (unlike his father and my grandfather) we took this to be just another funny story about Truman and the serene corruption of American politics.

Unfortunately, the hurried recognition of Israel as a state has resulted in forty-five years of murderous confusion, and the destruction of what Zionist fellow travellers thought would be a pluralistic state - home to its native population of Muslims, Christians and Jews, as well as a future home to peaceful European and American Jewish immigrants, even the ones who affected to believe that the great realtor in the sky had given them, in perpetuity, the lands of Judea and Samaria. Since many of the immigrants were good socialists in Europe, we assumed that they would not allow the new state to become a theocracy, and that the native Palestinians could live with them as equals. This was not meant to be. I shall not rehearse the wars and alarms of that unhappy region. But I will say that the hasty invention of Israel has poisoned the political and intellectual life of the USA, Israel's unlikely patron.

Unlikely, because no other minority in American history has ever hijacked so much money from the American taxpayers in order to invest in a 'homeland'. It is as if the American taxpayer had been obliged to support the Pope in his reconquest of the Papal states simply because one third of our people are Roman Catholic. Had this been attempted, there would have been a great uproar and Congress would have said NO. But a religious minority of less than two percent has bought or intimidated seventy senators (the necessary two thirds to overcome an unlikely presidential veto) while enjoying support of the media. (*Which of course they control anyway* - Editors addition)

In a sense, I rather admire the way that the Israel lobby has gone about its business of seeing that billions of dollars, year after year, go to make Israel a 'bulwark against communism'. Actually, neither the USSR nor communism was ever much of a presence in the region. What America did manage to do was to turn the once friendly Arab world against us. Meanwhile, the misinformation about what is going on in the Middle East has got even greater and the principal victim of these gaudy lies - the American taxpayer to one side - is American Jewry, as it is constantly bullied by such professional terrorists as Begin and Shamir. Worse, with a few honourable exceptions, Jewish-American intellectuals abandoned liberalism for a series of demented alliances with the Christian (anti-Semitic) right and with the Pentagon-industrial complex. In 1985 one of them blithely wrote that when Jews arrived on the American scene they 'found liberal opinion and liberal politicians more congenial in their attitudes, more sensitive to Jewish concerns' but now it is in the Jewish interest to ally with the Protestant fundamentalists because, after all, 'is there any point in Jews hanging on, dogmatically, hypocritically, to their opinions of yesteryear?' At this point the American left split and those of us who criticised our onetime Jewish allies for misguided opportunism, were promptly rewarded with the ritual epithet 'anti-Semitism' or 'self-hating Jew'.

Fortunately, the voice of reason is alive and well, and in Israel, of all places. From Jerusalem, Israel Shahak never ceases to analyse not only the dismal politics of Israel today but the Talmud itself, and the effect of this entire rabbinical tradition on a small state that the right wing rabbinate means to turn into a theocracy for Jews only. I have been reading Shahak for years. He has a satirist's eye for the confusions to be found in any religion that tries to rationalise the irrational. He is a joy to read on the great Gentile-hating Dr. Maimonides.

Needless to say, Israel's authorities deplore Shahak. But there is not much to be done with a retired professor of chemistry who was born in Warsaw in 1933 and spent his childhood in the concentration camp at Belsen. In 1945, he came to Israel, served in the Israeli military; did not become a Marxist in the years when it was fashionable. He was - and still is - a humanist who detests imperialism whether in the name of the God of Abraham or of George Bush. Equally, he opposes with great wit and learning the totalitarian strain in Judaism. Like a highly learned

Thomas Paine, Shahak illustrates the prospect before us, as well as the long history behind us, and thus he continues to reason, year after year. Those who heed him will certainly be wiser and - dare I say? - better. He is the latest, if not the last, of the great prophets.

HIGH TREASON III (SLDSHO5A - 6+)

The British Nation is, today, bedevilled by an alien organisation (not alien in the sense of Extra-Terrestrial but in the sense of not British) that has established itself within the Christian framework and has taken over the entire administration of this country. It is in the process of destroying our culture, our heritage our nationhood and our individuality. It has infiltrated the educational establishments, the church, the legal system and government. The masters have trained many adepts from among our people who, unwittingly carry out their will, and, many have been advanced to high office.

The 20th Century will go down in history as the portal to the end of civilisation as we know it, to the beginning of a new dark age of slavery and misery for the majority of those selected to survive and administer to the masters.

There used to be laws against the establishment of a foreign power in England. In 1353, King Edward III by his statute of Praemunire forbade appeals being made to foreign courts. Richard II, who came to the throne in 1377 issued a Statute of Praemunire (16 Richard II II C5) which stated that anyone who procures from Rome or any other place any thing which touches the King, against him or his crown or realm and all those aiding and abetting them shall be out of the King's protection and their goods and land would be forfeited and they would be made to answer to the King and his council and a process of Praemunire Facias made against them. The learned constitutional lawyer, Blackstone, in Book 4, C8, states that Praemunire is defined as "introducing a foreign power into the land and creating an IMPERIUM IN IMPERIO by paying obedience to other processes which constitutionally belong to the King alone." But although this is a general protection for the King, the constitutional lawyer Littlestone states that Praemunire Facias also extends to the King's loyal subjects and this particular protection is of two sorts, firstly it gives the subject immunity or freedom from action or suite and secondly to protect the safety of the subject and his goods, lands and possessions from violence, unlawful molestation and wrong. This, the subject gains by right and by law. Subjects are protected by the King, by the law and by the King's writ.

"Praemunire doth fortify JURISDICTIONEM JURIIUM CORONAE SUAE of the Kingly laws of the crown against foreign jurisdiction and against the usurpers upon them as by divers acts of Parliament appears." "A man who, by judgement given against him upon writ of Praemunire Facias etc. is out of the King's protection. For such of these crimes for which any shall have this judgement, to be hanged by the neck until he be dead and shall forfeit all his lands and chattels."

HIGH TREASON.

Treason is the act of betraying; betrayal of a trust undertaken by or reposed in anyone; a breach of faith, treachery. High treason or Treason Proper is the violation of a subject of his allegiance to his sovereign or to the state, levying war on the King's dominions, adhering to the King's enemies in his dominions, or aiding them in or out of the realm." In 1795, the offence was extended to actual contemplated use of force to make the King change his counsels.

MISPRISION OF TREASON.

Misprision of Treason is an offence or misdemeanour akin to treason or felony. It is the neglect of duty on the part of a public official to conceal a person's knowledge of treasonable actions or designs. At an assize it may warrant the same penalty as High Treason. A subject of the Crown is also bound to inform the magistrates if he knows that High Treason is being contemplated.

ESTABLISHING AN IMPERIUM IN IMPERIO: THE JEWS.

The Jews had been in England in Anglo-Saxon times dealing in slaves. Jews however, came into England with William I at the time of the Norman conquest. They made themselves a threat to the people and the economy of this country by (1) Clipping the gold coinage and turning the clippings into bullion which they sold abroad. The origin of the knurled edge to the coinage is attributable to this cause. (2) Dispossessing good Christian Englishmen of their lands and property by imposing high interest rates in usury. (3) By the ritual murder of young Christian boys by crucifixion and drawing off the blood for ritual purposes; particular occurrences recorded in history were Hugh of Lincoln in 1255; the martyrdom of William of Norwich in 1144; a child crucified in Nottingham in 1279; and the ritual murder of a boy in Oxford by the Jew Isaac de Pulet in 1290 (Patent Roll. Edward I, mem.21 21st June 1290, Public Record Office.)

On the 18th of July 1290, by a Royal decree, King Edward I in his council, expelled all Jews, forever, from England. Writs were sent out to the sheriffs of the English shires informing them that by Royal edict all Jews were to leave the English realm before November 1st 1290, any remaining were to be executed. The population and parliament reacted with relief and great joy (Calendar of Close rolls, 18 Edward I, Public Records Office). In his book "Edward I" London 1988, on page 343, Michael Prestwick states that at a Parliament summoned to Westminster in that July, a subsidy was granted and was collected. This subsidy was a thanksgiving offering for the expulsion of the Jews. For the following 400 years (Four Hundred years) England enjoyed an economic life that featured ZERO inflation.

THE JEWS PRESENT BEGGING LETTERS TO CROMWELL.

Various Jews made begging petitions to Oliver Cromwell to get him to revoke the Edict of Expulsion; but Cromwell, being only a commoner, did not have the authority to revoke the royal edict. In February 1658 Cromwell is reported to have made an oral commitment to Antonio Fernandes Cavajal, the leader of the Jews, an assurance of his protection. (Cavajal is buried in the Jews cemetery under the name Abraham) This was in defiance of the recommendations of the council that the Jews should only be permitted the standing of ordinary aliens. This is the situation even today, (lecture by Lucien Wolf to the Jews College Literacy Society in 1877).

Joan Comay, wife of the Israeli ambassador to the Court of St.James and to the United Nations wrote in her book "Who's Who in Jewish History After the Period of the Old Testament" published by Weidenfeld and Nicholson in 1974 and hurriedly withdrawn in 1977:- "Manasseh ben Israel returned to Holland in October 1657 deeply disturbed at what he considered to be the failure of his mission. Cromwell remained on friendly terms with him and granted him a pension of a hundred pounds a year. Although Edward 1st's Edict of Expulsion was not formally revoked as Manasseh had hoped, the resumption of Jewish worship achieved the same practical results.

THE EDICT OF EXPULSION HAS ACTUALLY NOT BEEN REVOKED TO THIS DAY" (1974)

Because of the expulsion of the Jews from Europe, the Jews sent an appeal for advice to the Sanhedrin on 13th of January 1489. The reply came back from the Prince of the Jews in Constantinople. It advised the Jews of Europe to adopt the tactics of the Trojan Horse: to make their sons Christian priests, lawyers, doctors etc. and to destroy the Christian structure from within. We have had one known Bah-Mitzvahed bishop in the church of England, Bishop Hugh Montefiore, and there are numerous Jewish Queens counsels in the legal profession and at least seven foreign Jews in the House of Lords.

According to the Daily Express, David Aaronovitch, a communist Jew has been engaged to join the mass media as another mind manipulator. He has been engaged to develop the B.B.C's political journalism. He is now head of the B.B.C's news coverage from the House of Commons. His father was a distinguished Marxist academic. He joins many other Jewish mind manipulators such as the communist Sue Slipman and Michael Grade (Winogradski). (Daily Express 4th August 1990).

It may be disputed that these old edicts have fallen into disuse and are no longer applicable. At a celebration to commemorate the conquering of England by William Duke of Normandy in 1066 held at Caen, the Mayor of Bordeaux assured all those present that the town of Bordeaux had faithfully paid the levy on wine placed on the town throughout the whole period of 900 years. An attempt had been made to have the levy annulled but this could not be done since the Queen of England is still Duke of Normandy, and Count of Aquitaine.

Israel Moses Sieff with his Political and Economic Planning (P.E.P) tried to get rid of ancient territorial boundaries for the new county councils. Although the new county councils are in operation, all law officers functioning in Lancashire are appointed by the Duchy and county Palatine of Lancaster and not by the Lord Chancellor or Parliament, and the courts are Duchy Courts and all offences are against the Duke's peace. The County palatine of the duchy of Lancaster is outwith the jurisdiction of parliament under the wills of Henry II, Henry III and Henry IV, certain acts of parliament are inapplicable within the duchy. A similar position is found in the Principality and County Palatine of Durham.

Being prohibited aliens by the Edict of Expulsion the Jews have no right to sit in our houses of Parliament, nor on our local government councils. They have no right to be in the judiciary nor to hold office in the executive of government nor in the police force. All purported laws and purported acts of parliament in which Jews have taken part in the voting are illegal, unconstitutional; null and void.

Furthermore, we have to alert the populace to the dangers that have been hovering over it since 1969 when the Labour government under Prime Minister Harold Wilson, passed through Parliament the Statute Law (Repeal) Act which was given Royal Assent in September of that year. The express intention of the Act was to give Parliament the power to repeal the Magna Carta, which in its own clauses contained statutory restrictions upon the Jews. It also contained many GUARANTEED rights and freedoms for the people of England. It also contained a clause for its own protection, which states that any instrument which attempts to diminish or revoke any of the safeguards of the Charter, would be null and void. Constitutionally this means that no act of Parliament or legislation passed since 1969 has any validity whatsoever. No judgement in any court of law has any standing or validity. In fact parliament itself destroyed the very instrument of its creation.

Contrary to the Statutes of Praemunire, traitors we elect to Parliament have allowed the Jews to establish an Imperium In Imperio, such that “The Board of Jewish Deputies”, which have gained for themselves powers to influence the policies of British governments to their own universal advantage. The Daily Telegraph of 19th January 1972 stated “The Zionist Congress is the head of the world’s political movement-” . World Works - Inner Mountain Jewish News on 1st March 1976 stated: “This world organisation has a highly centralised form of government, this consists of an international committee including representatives from all countries that have a local organisation. But the real control is vested in what is known as the Inner Actions Council. This is a compact body of only seven men and it is dominated by the Jews of Europe”.

The centre of the world after 1992 will be the European Community with its command headquarters in Luxembourg. The sleepy Grand Dutchy is bursting with Jewish capital and investments, in banking, new buildings and a super computer on line data network for world management. The Jew, Robert Maxwell was seeking to swallow up Japan’s state broadcasting channel NHK for use in racial propaganda.

MUSLIMS ATTEMPT TO SET UP ANOTHER IMPERIUM IN IMPERIO.

It is the function of the Attorney General to advise governments and government departments (see Whittaker’s Almanac). Succeeding Attorney Generals have failed to advise governments of the implications of the contents of Magna Carta, The Petition of Rights, the Bill of Rights and Habeas Corpus. This is a dereliction of duty. We have currently another attempt to establish an IMPERIUM IN IMPERIO. By aliens, a felony of Praemunire. In the Daily Telegraph of 15th July 1990 it was stated that the Muslim leader Kalim ‘Siddiqui called for a special relationship between Muslims here and the government of Iran. He has launched a manifesto to politicize Britain’s Muslim community which aims to establish an Islamic parliament, to persuade Muslims to discriminate in favour of other Muslims, to place the names of all Muslims in Britain on a computer and to raise five million pounds to establish a Muslim parliament in Britain. To do this is to commit the felony of Praemunire punishable with death by hanging. The culprits are those subjects of the crown who have allowed this situation to arise and it is up to the present government to give all Muslims their marching orders out of this country or incur the penalties laid down in Praemunire, allowing aliens to establish an Imperium In Imperio. In bringing this matter to the attention of fellow subjects of the Crown I am under the Queen’s protection for as Fitzherbert wrote (Vide 7 Co.8,9, Calvin’s Case ANT 130a 199) “Every loyal subject is in the Queen’s Protection.”

THE PURPORTED RACE RELATIONS ACTS.

The purported race relations acts are conspiracies to use acts of Parliament to enforce the racial integration of subjects of the Crown with negroes and Asiatics especially brought here for the purpose. They are acts of racial hatred against us by prohibited immigrants. The race relations act of 1965 was introduced to Parliament by the Russian Jew, Frank Soskice, purported Labour Attorney General. Further race relation acts were devised by the Board of Jewish Deputies. The Jewish Chronicle stated that amendments must be made to the Race Relations Act since the 1965 Act was not working the way they wanted it to work, to further their designs. The 1976 purported Race Relations Act was passed in the Commons by default with only 132 of the 635 members present, 124 Labour and Liberal traitors voted for the Bill and the eight Tories voted against.

To terrorize the masses it was required to establish a network of so-called human rights agencies,

'the Race Relations Industry'. Ivor Benson writes that "the task was to penalize the instinctive responses of the local resident population in the presence of inassimilable neighbours who have been forced upon them" ... "Hereto there are rich pickings for decadent, deracinated elements who help to improve the tyranny, like human dregs who rule the roost and spend millions of the taxpayers' money in Britain's innumerable inner city ghettos. Nor should we omit to mention the zealous active connivance of politicians and bureaucrats whose greed for personal advancement is rationalized with a spurious humanistic ideology."

There are three phases in this Jewish Imposition of race mixing:-

PHASE ONE: Inassimilable population elements were introduced into all white communities of the West as legitimate immigrants, supposedly because their labour was needed. In fact they were used to undermine the security of the Unions and the British working classes.

PHASE TWO: Public opposition is circumvented by introducing them as refugees as in the Uganda affair with Idi Amin.

PHASE THREE: Which came into effect right from the start is a massive and sustained campaign of mental terrorism aimed at suppressing every form of popular dissent and opposition. The campaign of terrorism has taken two forms, one aimed at the leadership and the other at the masses. Any political leader who dares to give voice to what his own people think and feel is at once made the target by the Jews of an orchestrated campaign of vilification with Jewish devised swear words "Nazi", "Fascist", "Racialist", "Racist" and so on, while support in money and publicity is diverted to political rivals and opponents. The masses are terrorised by Jewish led Socialist workers party mobs who are quite prepared to use violence when necessary.

IMMIGRATION.

The massive immigration of Islamics and other coloured peoples is illegal and the British people, subjects of the Crown, were never consulted upon whether they wanted their country to become a multiracial, multi-cultured society. Mrs. Margaret Thatcher deceived the nation in 1979 when she declared that Britain was becoming swamped with immigrants using the fears of the subjects of the Crown to gain votes and giving the impression that she would stem the flow. Having got her party into government she has done nothing about it only allowed vast numbers more into the country. Multiracialism and multi-culturalism has been illegally and unconstitutionally imposed on this nation and the Jewish power controlling the government will ensure that the nation will never be consulted.

THE PURPORTED PUBLIC ORDER ACT OF 1986.

The purported Public Order Act of 1986 is a conspiracy to use an act of Parliament to enable investigators to enter the homes of subjects of the realm to gain evidence to initiate actions in the law courts against those offending against the purported Race Relations Acts. This Act was placed before Parliament by the purported Conservative Home Secretary, the prohibited Jewish immigrant from Lithuania, Leon Brittanishky, also known as Leon Brittan, assisted by his cousin, another Lithuanian Jew, Malcolm Rivkind also known as Malcolm Rifkind. This bill was primarily to prevent subjects of the realm from discussing and revealing the Jewish take over of our nation. It always seems to be a mystery why the Race Relations Act has not been used against the Jews to expose their vile publication, the Talmud. No doubt anyone endeavouring to take such action would be hastily silenced by the Jewish controlled judicial system through the Free-

masons, who you will discover from this CD are the secret agents of the Jews and every man jack of them does the bidding of Jewish masters.

THE PURPORTED NATIONALITY ACT.

The purported Nationality Act is another conspiracy to use an act of Parliament to take away the rights of subjects of the realm. This act makes the place of birth rather than the genetic and racial identity of the individual the criteria for assessing nationality. Britons from Australia and Canada visiting the continent from Britain were surprised on their return to be presented with documents to sign marked "ALIEN" while Pakistanis and other Asians passed through with British passports as if they were British Nationals.

This purported act is to replace ancestral heredity which for generations has been the practical definition of the British subject. So by the new definition the government swept aside all the protection mechanisms for the British people, for which such massive sacrifices were made through the years in life and blood, to be replaced by a notional place of birth, this to encourage the development of a nation of half-breeds, and to swamp and destroy the British people and their customs and heritage. This is nothing new for the Jews, they have done exactly this sort of thing in the past throughout Europe, bringing strife and grief to whole populations where once there was idyll and harmony.

180.N2 of the Common Law of England, Sir Edward Coke states:- "Persons born beyond the seas, if their fathers or paternal grandfathers were natural born subjects, are likewise made so, though with an exception of some unfavourable persons. ...Liegeus is ever taken for a natural born subject..... Liegeance, a liegando, being the highest and greatest obligation of duty and obedience that can be. Liegeance is the true and faithful obedience of a liegman or subject to his liege, lord or sovereign. Allegiance is born of faith: Allegiance is the essential law".

Until William Whitelaw's Nationality Act we have been subjects of the Crown and under the Protection of the Crown. This purported Act seeks to deprive us of this and make us merely British citizens. This purported Act, together with the European Communities Act and the Treaty of Rome aim to destroy the British monarchy as soon as possible. Such actions are High Treason.

By signing the United Nations Charter in 1946 and passing an act before Parliament, so-called British politicians were obliged to carry out the terms of the Charter which entails the mixing of the races of the world to form as far as possible a uniform population and the subsequent eradication of the British and other White races whom the planners regard, together with Christianity, as the main obstacles to the establishment of a totalitarian one world government.

The United Nations Charter was drafted by Alger Hiss, a convicted communist and soviet agent together with Andrei Gromyko, a senior soviet official. Alger Hiss occupied the directional chair at the organisational conference on the United Nations. These people are aiming at GENOCIDE OF THE WHITE RACES.

By this purported Nationality Act, the Queen is no longer our Queen and we are no longer her subjects. If we are no longer the subjects of the Crown, this Act deprives us of the protection of the Crown for the patent rolls of the Statute of Northampton 1328, it is the duty of the monarch to protect her subjects despite Parliament, thus we are protected from a possible anti-White, anti-British parliament. By this purported Act the queen has been deprived of her titles, lands and prerogatives. We no longer go to war for the Crown but for parliament. This is High Treason.

The Independent newspaper, Monday 17th June 1988.

“A letter from the Home Secretary dated 31st May 1988, in which it is made plain that Her Majesty, the Queen, is prepared to place at the disposal of Parliament all her remaining prerogatives - the power to make war or peace, to ratify treatise, to grant honours; the list is formidable, embracing just about all those powers which remained to the monarch after the glorious revolution of 1688.” The signature at the bottom of the letter is that of Douglas Hurd. The person who has drawn the Home Secretary into weighty correspondence is the cryptic left winger, Tony Benn. Benn laid before parliament his Crown Prerogatives Bill to deprive the Crown of all the remainder of its prerogatives.

THE PURPORTED EUROPEAN COMMUNITIES ACT.

The European Communities Act of 1972 and the Treaty of Rome aim to destroy the British monarchy as soon as possible.

Some might say this is no bad thing, after all the monarchy costs the taxpayer a fortune to maintain, why should a head of state be afforded such a life style when there are so many subjects who are homeless. That is another argument entirely. Let us forget for a moment, the personality of the monarch. What we have to remember is that the monarchy represents a safeguard, a final arena of appeal for a subject who has been denied rights and justice by the establishment of state. Without a monarchy the subject is left at the mercy of a non-elected judiciary who are above and beyond the law and accountable to no one. It is only the people, in such a situation, who can force the judiciary to be accountable. THAT SITUATION EXISTS NOW, and has existed since 1969 when Parliament exceeded its powers and repealed the Magna Carta, a statute set in 1215 guaranteeing the rights and freedoms of every citizen of Great Britain for all time. The Magna Carta was composed by some very astute people who knew the way of things and so they wrote into that Great Charter a clause which stated that any instrument or act of legislature, which attempted to diminish in any way any of the rights and freedoms guaranteed by the Charter, would be null and void. Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth the Second gave her Royal Assent to the Act of Parliament repealing those guaranteed rights and freedoms. All that remains of Magna Carta is that part relating to the established church. By such a deed the British people have been ruled by rogue governments since 1969. Furthermore, every piece of legislature passed by every government since 1969 is illegal, null and void. THIS WAS THE FIRST ACT TOWARDS THE ENSLAVEMENT OF THE WHITE RACES TO THE ZIONIST YOKE. And it was all made possible by those feeble men duped into Freemasonry.

This is High Treason, the penalty for which is death by hanging. This penalty is still Statute Law. Likewise, any citizen who knows of High Treason being carried out and who fails to report it, is guilty of the offence of Misprision of High Treason whose penalty may be death.

An example of High Treason by the judiciary is to be found in the case of the Shipping Act of 1988, an Act passed by both Houses of Parliament and which received the Royal Assent. The High Court of Great Britain referred the Act to the European Court in order to have the regulations contained therein set aside in the interests of a foreign court. This was the first case in the history of parliament where a British Court has interfered with an Act of Parliament. Remember, the judiciary in Great Britain are non-elected and accountable to no one. Many judges are Jews and those who are not Jews are mainly Freemasons, who, as you will have discovered operate to the Jewish Talmudic agenda.

The Imperial Institute for International Affairs, the Rothschilds and other International Jewish financiers and multinational companies employed Edward Heath to execute their plans to deceive the Queen and her council.

All Members of Parliament, Queen's Councillors, members of the judiciary, clergy of the Church of England, members of the executive and the armed forces take the Oath of Allegiance to serve monarch and country, to maintain the laws, the rights and freedoms earned and guaranteed by the sacrifice of our forebears. And yet we find many of them are working for our sworn enemies, to create a slave state full of debt which we and our children will inherit. Our government and our laws are being overruled by directives from beyond the seas - by foreigners, many of indeterminate origins in Brussels and Strasbourg.

THE CORONATION OATH AND THE BILL OF RIGHTS.

Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II in her coronation oath made a solemn promise that she would govern the people according to the laws and customs of the realm for her entire reign and to use all her power to cause law and justice in mercy to be executed in all her judgements. These laws are enshrined in Magna Carta, the Bill of Rights and Habeas Corpus, and in English Common Law. It is a basic constitutional requirement that the people shall only be governed by the laws of this realm; this is the birthright of every subject of this realm. The Queen also promised to maintain the Laws of God and the true profession of the gospels to the utmost of her power.

From this CD you will discover the historical precedent used by those who stole the birthright of their brothers, and why they rewrote the laws with dispensations so that the laws for them have no meaning and control.

Section 3 of the Act of Settlement 1701, states "And whereas the Laws of England are the Birthright of the people therefore, and all kings and queens who shall ascend the throne of this realm ought to administer the government of the same according to the said laws, and all their officers and ministers ought to serve them respectively according to the same: the said lords spiritual and temporal and commons do therefore further humbly pray that all our laws and statutes of this realm for securing the established religion and rights and liberties of the people thereof, and all other laws and statutes of the same now in force may be ratified and confirmed."

The promise to govern the subjects of the Crown by the laws of England is taken by every sovereign in the Coronation Oath and this oath is supported in turn by the oaths which every member of parliament, minister of state, officer, judiciar and servant of the Crown must take, to uphold the sovereign in the sovereign's oath and undertakings, before assuming office, for ever as long as there is an England. To break this oath brings the penalty of High Treason.

At the passing of the Bill of Rights all those owing allegiance to the Crown took the following oath: "I do declare that no foreign prince, person, prelate, state or potentate hath or ought to have any jurisdiction, power, superiority, pre-eminence or authority ecclesiastical or spiritual within this realm."

A passage in the Bill of Rights, in effect states that the entire, perfect and full sovereignty of the British people shall be in the Crown, that the Lords spiritual and the Lords Temporal and the Commons shall maintain and defend the Crown against all persons who shall attempt anything to the contrary.

It is the duty therefore of the subject to protect the Crown against all powers etc.. raised against it and we are protected from all penalty and attainder in so doing. The Queen's duty is to protect her subjects for the Patent Rolls Statute of Northampton of 1328 mem.4:- "It is the Monarch's duty to defend his/her subjects despite parliament."

Sitting in Westminster Hall, London on 20th July 1988, Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II stated:- "The Bill of Rights and the Scottish Claim of Rights are still part of the Statute Law, and are the sure foundation upon which the whole edifice of parliamentary democracy rests, and which had great influence abroad, especially in the United States of America and in the commonwealth."

Power lies with the Monarch and his/her loyal subjects who elect their members petitioners (MPs) to manage their affairs under the Crown. Political conspirators have conspired to exploit acts of parliament, such as the Statute Law Repeal Act to repeal Magna Carta, to subordinate the interests of the people of the Crown to foreign international dictators and to ensure the setting up of a purported "Authority" over the sovereign lord, the Queen who is the Symbol of the sovereignty of a peculiar people - the White Covenant people of Britain. By a coup d'état politicians have seized fictitious and illegal power, and so Christian Anglo-Saxondom had been bound by a purported nationality act, gagged by an iniquitous race relations board and been dragged into the Common market and sold to an international Jewish Banking fraternity. These are criminal offences of High Treason.

Until 1917 successive British Lord Chancellors had expressly stated that Christianity and the Divine Law were part and parcel of English Common Law. In 1917, a weakened British House of Lords declared that Christianity was no longer a part of the Laws of England. This was a fundamental break with tradition and heritage of the law of the land, as expressed by the famous English constitutional authority William Blackstone who wrote:- "The law of Nature being coeval with mankind, and dedicated to God himself, is of course superior to any other. It is binding all over the globe in all countries and at all times; no human laws are of any validity if contrary to this....." English Law which is based on the Holy Bible is becoming today based upon the teachings of the Jewish Talmud. This has been achieved through the agencies of the Freemasons, with all its counter perversions. Homosexuality, for example, is a perversion counter to the Laws of Nature, the historical records reveal that it was the Jews who first countenanced homosexuality. Reports from recent history of the 19th and 20th centuries reveal that there was no homosexuality amongst the indigenous natives of Africa until the White man came to bring "civilisation" to the dark continent. History reveals that perhaps homosexuality was acquired by the Crusaders, indeed the Order of the Knights Templar died out because of it. Lately we have seen the Church of England give its apparent blessing to homosexuals by permitting them to become clergy. And parliament has passed a Bill reducing the age of consent from 18 to 16 years of age. Next it will be down to 14, then 12, then 10. The Talmud states that it is OK to have sex with young boys and girls younger than three years of age. According to Talmudic Law it is no offence to abuse children. One day this too will be the law in England. DO YOU WANT TO PASS FROM THIS MORTAL COIL KNOWING THAT YOUR CHILDREN AND GRAND-CHILDREN AND GREAT GRANDCHILDREN WILL BE SUBJECTED TO SUCH VILE ABUSES? YOU CAN DO SOMETHING ABOUT IT RIGHT NOW YOU CAN START OR JOIN YOUR OWN PRESSURE GROUP, SPREAD THE WORD UNTIL THESE VILE CREATURES WHO PREY UPON THE GENEROSITY AND OPENNESS OF NORMAL PEOPLE, ARE IDENTIFIED AND ISOLATED.

ANGLO-SAXONDOM ATTRACTS ENGLISH SPEAKING ALIENS.

The Anglo-Saxon nation, due to its world wide pioneering activities has had its language become a 'lingua franca' which everyone wants to learn in order to get on in the world, and England itself becomes a honeypot to which millions swarm, wanting to live and work and enjoy the fruits of generations of graft and hard work Great Britain in its dominions and colonies and protectorates was building up societies similar to those in its own land, but international Zionism-Communism saw this as a threat to its own objectives of world government. An example of this attraction to Britain is the case of Ernst Walter Schleyer, born an Austrian Jew in Vienna in 1935. He came to Britain and passed through British schools and expected to be absorbed into a Christian Society. With his Talmudic background he entered into English finance and has been sent to prison for fraud and theft. To allow these people to be absorbed into Christian society we are informed that Abraham was a Jew. If Abraham was a Jew then the Arabs are Jews and also Semites. Since the Jews are against the Arabs they are therefore also anti-Semitic. Another false claim put out to confuse people is that Christianity developed from Judaism; this is erroneous, the learned Rabbi Stephen Wise wrote:- "The return from Babylon and the adoption of the Babylonian Talmud (tradition of the elders, Matt. 15: 1 to 19) marks the end of Hebrewism and the beginning of Judaism". Christianity is the continuation of the Faith of the Hebrew Israelites.

In the "Origin of the Common Law of England" 198 n.2 The Lord Chief Justice, Sir Edward Coke writes:- "Naturalised persons are incapacitated from being on the Privy Council, members of either Houses of Parliament, or enjoying any office or place of trust, civil or military, or of having any grants of land or other hereditaments from the Crown no Bill of nationalisation shall be received without a clause to this effect." They are not subjects of the Crown. And yet today we see in every walk of life in every arena of administration persons of foreign nationality taking over from genuine English stock. This is the only country in the world where such things are permitted. After the Russian Revolution on 1917, Jews took over all the positions of the administration of government and the civil service. This was remarked upon by both Douglas Reed and Robert Wilton, both reputable correspondents for English newspapers who were the first Western reporters to visit Russia after the revolution.

Harold Wilson made a number of Khazar Jews from Hungary, Austria and elsewhere members of our House of Lords. Margaret Thatcher made the German Rabbi Jacobovits a member of the House of Lords. This is illegal and contrary to the Constitution. Why was she not advised on this matter by the Attorney General? Because he was a fool with no knowledge of the Constitution. The attempts being made to change the law so that elderly alien immigrants can be tried in English courts by British law shows how far we are being manipulated by Jewish prohibited immigrants.

It is deplorable that any man or woman who has taken an oath of allegiance to the Crown as a member of Parliament, a Queen's councillor, a cleric of the Church of England, a member of the Judiciary should be allowed to behave in such a way that our children will inherit a slave state, up to its ears in debt should escape prosecution for exploiting acts of parliament. A charge of treason and perjury must be laid at the doors of such culprits, especially as directives that govern us and overrule our own government can now come from areas forbidden by law - those places beyond the sea.

DEBASEMENT OF PARLIAMENTARY GOVERNMENT.

We do not elect governments to govern us, only to administer our affairs under the Crown.

Members of Parliament are Members Petitioners; they are our humble and obedient servants. Every free subject of the Crown is by the constitution a baron. Power lies with the Crown and her loyal subjects and H.M. Government should have policies but no politics.

The legal duty of the elected representatives of the people is to serve the will of the people and not a political party. They have no right to inflict on the people any will of their own. Political Parties have no place in our constitution, their purpose is subversive. Parliament has no power to confine nor to define our rights. This is to make us slaves to our servants.

However, today Members Petitioners are no longer conscience free to represent the will of the electors who elect them. They are chosen and financed by the party and so long as they follow party policy and discipline, even though this may be against the interests of the electorate, they are safe in their seats. Without accepting the discipline of the party one cannot be elected and if one defies the party whips the party will destroy the member. The controlled press will ensure that those outside the approved party system will not gain the support of the electorate.

The House of Commons has ceased to qualify as a parliamentary house of commons based upon the freedom of elections and the independence of its members. The elections to the House of Commons are now controlled by professional party politicians who have brought its membership under their own control and who are directed by high finance and alien forces in high places.

MAGNA CARTA.

Blackstone in his commentaries 1765 stated that “Edward the first had confirmed Magna Carta by a statute whereby the Great Charter is directed to be allowed as the Common Law, all judgments contrary to it are declared void, copies of it are ordered to be sent to all cathedral churches, and read twice a year to the people; and sentences of excommunication are directed to be as constantly denounced against all those that by word, deed or counsel act contrary thereto, or in any degree infringe it.”

English constitutional principles are founded on her free system of English Laws made by the consent of the English people. These principles were confirmed as a legal force in 1215 by the sealing of the Magna Carta, reconfirmed in the revolution of 1399 by which Richard II was deposed, reaffirmed in 1660 by the restoration of Charles II and again confirmed by the revolutionary settlement after the abdication of James II in 1688 to be reaffirmed in 1784 after George III acknowledged defeat. Having defeated every attempt at its corruption the English constitution established itself as the foundation of the strongest and most stable and responsible free national authority unequalled by that of any other community. The effects and safeguards guaranteed by Magna Carta were illegally removed by traitors in the British Parliament in the year 1970. Since that Act took place, every single piece of legislation passed by the British Parliament since is absolutely void and null. This means in effect that also every single verdict given in any court of law is void and null since the judiciary have no legal standing or appointment. And this all done under Zionist/Jewish influence and pressure which relied in large extent upon the greed of those traitors who claim to be of British blood, and who revel in the status afforded by money and power.

Magna Carta is a contract between the reigning monarch and the subjects of the realm which guarantees our freedom and rights for ever. Lord Salisbury in his “Laws of England” states:- “Magna Carta is as binding on the Crown today as it was on the day of its sealing at Runnymede.”

Sir Edward Coke, Lord chief Justice of England, on Magna Carta stated:- “The Common Law hath so admeasured the prerogatives of the King, that they should not take away, nor prejudice, the inheritance of any, and the best inheritance that the subject hath is THE LAW OF THE LAND.” On Article 31 of Magna Carta, Coke writes:- “Hereby it is intended, that the lands, tenements, goods and chattels shall not be seized into the King’s hands contrary to this great charter and the law of the land.”

Article 63 of magna Carta states:- “It is accordingly our wish and command that the English Church shall be free, and that men in our kingdom shall have and keep all those liberties, rights and concessions, well and peaceably in their fullness and entirety for them and their heirs, of us and our heirs, in all things and all places FOREVER.”

The Elizabethan statute “De Tallagio non Concendendo”, 34 EI P534, Cap.IV:- “We will grant for us and our heirs, that all clerks and laymen of our land shall have their laws, liberties and customs, as and wholly as they have used to have the same at any time when they had them best; and if any statutes have been made by us or by our ancestors or any custom brought in contrary to them, or any manner of article contained in this present charter we will and grant that such manner of statutes and customs shall be void and frustrate for evermore.”

SOME OF THE TRAITORS RESPONSIBLE FOR LAW PERVERSION.

From the 1994-1995 Grand Lodge Masonic Year Book

COMMISSION FOR APPEALS COURTS.

Panel:

Bro. the Right Honourable Sir Edward Eveleigh, ERD, PJGW.

Bro. the Right Honourable Lord Justice Balcomb, PJGW.

Bro. the Honourable Mr. Justice Drake, DFC, PJGW.

Bro. the Right Honourable Lord Justice Millett, PJGW.

Bro. the Honourable Mr. Justice Chadwick, ED, PJGW.

Bro. His Honour Sir William Stabb, QC, PGSwdB.

IS THIS THE SECRET “STAR CHAMBER”?

Other justices listed on the same page.

Bro. His Honour Judge A.F.Waley, VRD, QC, DI, PDepGReg.

Bro. His Honour Judge P.M.J Slot, PJGD.

G.W.Seward QC.

Bro. His Honour A.Garfitt, PAGReg.

Bro. His Honour Judge R.Lockett, PJGD.

Comb the year book for other judges and QCs. dd. 28 JUL 98

His Honour Marcus J.Anwyl-Davies QC.

The Right Honourable Lord Barnard

The Right Honourable Viscount Bledisloe QC.
His Lordship Justice William S. Bruce-Lyle.
His Honour Henry W. Callow CBE.
The Honourable Mr. Justice Chadwick
His Honour Judge Gerald Coles JK. QC.
His Honour Justice Donald G. Douglas
The Honourable Mr. Justice Drake
His Honour Desmond Franks
His Honour A. Gordon Friend
His Honour Judge Brian Galpin
His Honour Alan Garfitt
District Judge David M. Garside
His Honour Mr. Justice Godfrey
His Honour Judge Michael B. Goodman
Robert M. K. Gray QC.
His Honour Rowe Harding
Ian G. A. Hunter QC.
His Honour Mr. Justice Reider J. Jacks
Julian Jeffs QC.
His Honour John E. Jones
District Judge Jerome I. Karet
His Honour Alexander D. Karmel QC.
His Honour M. Alan B. King-Hamilton QC.
District Judge Ian H. Lay
The Honourable Mr. Justice David J. Leonard
His Honour Gilbert F. Leslie
His Honour Judge Reginald Lockett
Niall Macdermot QC.
Justice Josephus D. Manuel
The Right Honourable Lord Justice Millett
Peter J. Mitchell JP.
William J. Mowbray QC.
Justice Prakash C. Naik
Justice Auiono Neizer
The Right Honourable Sir John Percival QC.
Justice Henry K. Prempeh
William A. Ramsden QC.
Geoffrey R. Rowland QC.
His Honour John C. Rutter
District Judge Seymour M. Samuels
District Judge Geoffrey M. Silverman
His Honour Judge Peter M. J. Slot
His Honour Judge David A. Smith QC.
His Honour Sir William W. Stabb QC.
The Honourable Mr. Justice Talbot
His Honour Judge Sir Lawrence I. Verney
His Honour Richard W. Vick
His Honour Judge A. Waley
Honourable Justice Dunstan E. M. Williams
G. W. Seward QC.

C OF E CLERICS IN YEAR BOOK.

The Rev. Canon John M.W.Adam.
The Rev. Saul Amias. MBE.
The Rev. Canon James H. Arbuckle
The Rev. Canon Hugh R. Barker
The Rev. David R. Bonner
The Rev. Arthur W.S. Brown.
The Rev. Cyril J. Brown, OBE.
The Rev. Canon Thomas K. Brunson.
The Rev. Stanley J. Bryant.
The Rev William E. Burgess
The Rev Charles J. Caley
The Rev Canon Harry Callaghan
The Rev Frederick A. Carroll
The Rev Cyril H. Cartwright
The Right Rev Richard F. Cartwright
The Rev. Christopher G. Clarke
The Rev. Canon Trevor G. Davies
The Rev. Victor G. Davies
The Rev. Prebendary Ronald Denman
The Rev. Ernest H. de Souza
The Rev. Canon Francis H. Doe
The Rev. Sidney W. Doran
The Rev. Canon Charles A. Fox
The Right Rev. David G. Galliford
The Rev. Canon Howard Garside
The Rev. Canon Robert H. Gill

(The list is yet to be completed)